WHIOCE PUBLISHING PTE. LTD.
PROVIDING
FIRST-CLASS SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION
FOR TOP SCHOLARS

2026 Volume 4, Issue 4
Lecture Notes in Education, Arts, Management and Social Science
ISSN:2705-053X

A Practical Study on the Transformation of Frank Gehry's Deconstructivist Architectural Language into 3D Sculptural Creation

Jiayi Wang, Ling Qiu*
Guangdong Guangya High School, Guangzhou 510800, Guangdong, China

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract: Taking architect Frank Gehry as the main perspective, this thesis further studies his deconstructive architectural language that can be reflected in spatial creation. It summarizes some practices and ideas aimed at transforming abstract theoretical knowledge into three-dimensional sculptural creative practice. During the research process of this study, excessive focus or neglect of issues within a specific scope often arises. For instance, many relevant papers on deconstructivity characteristics place greater emphasis on analyzing these logical characteristics from architectural form to design methods within the theoretical field. There is relatively less exploration regarding actual practice, which also has an element of speculative nature for creators and practitioners. Based on our comprehensive analysis and design work after carefully studying Gehry's overall design theories as representative works, by extracting natural organic curvature structures within the model and gradually completing the formal transformations of planar paper through various folding forms, and finally forming the basis for creating sculptures as visual entities, we analyze this thesis, thereby achieving a unified integration of fragments. Moreover, after obtaining certain proportional sizes, when designing using paraffin material, it is possible to achieve organic curved shapes during creation that closely conform to the deconstructive structural combination of modern times. Finally, internal supporting structures such as mesh, irregular metals, and thermoplastic elastic substances may allow more reasonable applications. Therefore, during the actual production process, the advantages will be fully demonstrated to effectively create overall stability. This study summarizes the creative ideas of sculptural transformation of architectural language, points out the limitations of this creation, and provides references for the large-scale creation and digital practice of deconstructive sculpture in the future.

Keywords: Frank Gehry; Deconstructivism; Architectural language; Sculpture creation; Form transformation; Wax material; Form extraction

Online publication: April 26, 2026

1. Introduction

Frank Gehry has long interested me because his buildings rarely feel still. I first noticed this before I studied deconstructivism. The Guggenheim Museum Bilbao and the Walt Disney Concert Hall seemed full of movement. Their broken outlines, curved surfaces, and unusual skins made them feel like large sculptures. This first visual response became the starting point of my project.

Later, I read more about Gehry. I found that many studies discuss his work through history, style, or theory. Fewer studies explain how a student artist can take this design language apart. They say less about translating it into a small three-dimensional sculpture through making. Form, material, and structure are often discussed in theory. They are less often tested by hand. This gap led to my research question. Could Gehry's deconstructivist language be adapted to sculpture rather than only viewed from a distance?

The project followed a practical path. It studied Gehry's formal language, natural forms, paper folding, fragile materials, and the final sculpture. The aims were fourfold. I aimed to identify Gehry's main formal and material features. I also explored natural and folded forms for deconstructivist sculpture. I compared support structures for a fragile medium. Finally, I summarized a workable method for turning architectural ideas into a small sculptural object.

2. Theoretical foundation: Reading Gehry's design language

This section sets out the ideas that guided the project. I considered Gehry's work from three angles. They were deconstructivism, repeated formal features, and material use.

2.1. Core ideas of deconstructivist architecture

Deconstructivism developed in the late twentieth century. It challenged order, balance, and the clear geometry often linked with modernist design. It favors fragmentation, displacement, visual tension, and unexpected spatial relations. In Gehry's work, these ideas appear clearly. His buildings do not hide instability behind regular facades. They twist, overlap, and break apart. This creates a sense of movement, although the structure is fixed.

I was most interested in Gehry's treatment of beauty. His architecture does not reject beauty. It reorganizes it. The buildings may look disordered at first. Yet their parts are carefully composed. Curved planes, shifted volumes, and contrasting materials form a strong rhythm. This balance of disruption and control made his work useful for sculpture [1].

2.2. Core formal elements of Gehry's architectural works

I focused on three formal qualities in Gehry's well-known works. Organic curvature was the first. Gehry often uses forms that seem alive. These include shells, leaves, waves, and other natural shapes. Such references give his architecture growth and flow. They reduce the feeling of mechanical rigidity.

Layering and recombination were also important. Gehry's buildings rarely have a single clean outer shell. Different surfaces overlap and cut across one another. Repetition, shifted angles, and changing skins create depth. This layering adds complexity and visual energy.

The last quality was unity within fragmentation. Gehry often breaks a whole into separate parts. These parts are not random. They are reassembled into a new, readable whole. This idea mattered for my sculpture. I did not need to copy one building directly. I could use broken and recombined elements. They only needed to form an intentional whole [2].

2.3. Material innovation in Gehry's architectural creation

Material choice is also central to Gehry's design language. In earlier work, he used industrial and low-cost materials. Examples include chain-link fencing, corrugated metal, and cardboard. He often left their rough character visible. In later projects, he used titanium alloy and fiberglass. These materials created reflective and curved surfaces with a polished finish. In both stages, material was more than a technical choice. It shaped the emotional tone of the building.

This point affected my own process. I needed a material that could suggest softness, irregularity, and layering. I also needed a structure strong enough to hold it. For this reason, wax became the main experimental material. Metal mesh and thermoplastic were used to address its weaknesses [3].

3. Extraction of deconstructive forms: Nature and paper folding

I did not copy one Gehry building. Instead, I extracted forms with a similar visual character. I used two sources: natural structures and paper folding. They helped me move from architectural observation to sculptural form.

3.1. Extraction and abstract transformation of natural formal elements

I selected three natural references. The nautilus spiral was one of them. Its expanding curve suggests controlled movement and continuous growth. This made it useful for flowing sculptural lines. I translated it into a three-dimensional double-helix form. The new form kept a sense of motion. It was also more suitable for sculpture.

Fern branching was the second reference. Ferns combine repetition with variation. Their branching rhythm helped me think about inner structure and outer texture. I simplified this into a multi-branch grid. The grid could support surface development and compositional balance.

The overlapping form of rose petals was the third reference. Petals create softness and depth through gradual layering. This echoed the stacked curved surfaces in Gehry's architecture. I abstracted petal overlap into curved planes. In this way, I built a stronger sculptural layering. These natural forms gave me a vocabulary related to Gehry. They did not require direct imitation [4].

3.2. Paper folding experiments and the physical testing of form

Paper folding was the most direct way to test form by hand. It helped me explore breaking, bending, layering, and recombining. I did not need to choose the final material too early. Paper also starts as a flat surface. So the move from plane to volume became clear.

I carried out three main paper experiments. One was a bloom-and-diffusion model. Several folded sheets spread outward from a center. This tested layered expansion and visual rhythm. Another was a double-helix fold. It helped me study twisting motion and continuous curvature. The third used irregular parallel folds. These folds disturbed planar order and made fragmented surfaces. The models showed that paper could express many spatial effects. They also helped define the next step. The sculpture needed visible layers, directional flow, and fragmentation that still read as one form [5].

4. Experiments on fragile material sculptures: Optimizing wax as a medium

After the form studies, I began material testing. Wax seemed useful because it can be melted, reshaped, and layered. These qualities suited irregular surfaces. But wax is also fragile. The project needed more than an attractive surface. It needed structural support.

4.1. Selection and characteristic analysis of experimental materials

Wax was chosen as the main material. It has a low melting point and strong plasticity. It can also record curved and uneven surfaces. These features matched the flowing and layered qualities I wanted. But wax has clear limits. It is brittle, weak under stress, and likely to crack. It can also peel away from a support.

To reduce these problems, I introduced four supporting materials. Metal mesh provided reinforcement. Beeswax improved the working quality of the base wax. Thermoplastic added strength and surface contrast. A wooden frame served as an external aid when needed. The project used a composite method, not wax alone.

4.2. Preliminary experiments and problem diagnosis

In the first tests, I used direct forming methods. I poured melted wax into molds. I also shaped wax by hand and attached it to a metal support. These trials exposed several problems. The wax cracked after cooling. Some parts are detached from the metal frame. The final forms were also too simple. They did not express the desired curvature and layering.

The main issue was not only a failed material. It was a mismatch between material behavior and structure. Wax alone did not have enough strength. The original support also lacked enough contact area. It was not compatible enough for reliable adhesion. After this, the next experiments became more focused.

4.3. Optimization experiments and structural improvement schemes

I adjusted the system in three areas. They were wax composition, support structure, and material combination. I first tested different proportions of beeswax and paraffin. The best result came from a 1:3 beeswax-to-paraffin ratio. At this proportion, the wax was less brittle after cooling. It also adhered more evenly to the support.

I then compared three metal frameworks made from 1 mm stainless steel wire. They were a cubic grid, a tetrahedral grid, and an interlaced irregular grid. The cubic grid was stable. But its regular form did not suit the final work. The tetrahedral grid added angularity. Yet its lower density gave the wax fewer attachment points. The interlaced irregular grid worked best. Its denser and less ordered structure created more contact area. It also matched the sculpture's visual language more closely.

I also added thermoplastic over the wax and metal framework. This layer improved durability. It also created a slight contrast in surface texture. The most successful combination was wax, interlaced irregular metal mesh, and thermoplastic. This combination reduced cracking and peeling. It also kept the soft, layered, and dynamic qualities required by the project.

5. Analysis of experimental results and discussion

The final sculpture combined the main formal and material decisions of the project. Visually, it used asymmetry, layered curved planes, and a double-helix tendency. These elements suggested motion. Structurally, the irregular mesh and composite surface kept the form stable. In some areas, the inner framework remained partly visible. This added another deconstructivist quality. Order and disorder appeared in the same object.

The project showed that Gehry's design language can be translated into sculpture. But visual ideas must be matched with technical adjustment. Natural-form studies and paper folding helped me build curvature and layering. Material experiments showed that appearance alone was not enough. The sculpture worked after the support and surface system were redesigned together. It did not simply imitate Gehry's buildings. It reinterpreted their logic under new material conditions.

The study also had limits. The finished work was small in scale. So the structural lessons may not transfer directly to larger sculptures. The material range was also limited. Other visual or tactile possibilities were not explored. I also did not study lighting, space, or viewer movement. These issues remain important for future research and practice.

6. Research conclusions and future directions

This project tested whether Gehry's deconstructivist architectural language could become a small three-dimensional sculpture. The experiments led to three conclusions. First, Gehry's organic curvature, layered buildup, and unity within fragmentation can be translated into sculptural form. This can happen through natural-form abstraction and paper-folding studies. Second, the weaknesses of wax can be reduced. Careful ratio adjustment and structural support are needed. In this project, the most effective combination was wax, interlaced irregular metal mesh, and thermoplastic. Third, architecture and sculpture can meet through practice. This happens when theoretical analysis is linked to hands-on testing.

The project can be developed further in several directions. A larger scale could test the same principles under greater structural pressure. More materials could also widen the sculpture's visual language. These may include 3D-printing media, biodegradable composites, or other experimental surfaces. Digital modeling could support structural simulation before physical making. An exhibition-based study could also examine light, space, and audience movement. These factors may change how viewers read deconstructivist sculptural form.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Busch F, 2021, Frank Gehry: The Architecture of Deconstruction. Taschen.

[2] Gehry F, 2005, Why Houses? Yale University Press, Yale.

[3] Jencks C, 1984, The Language of Post-Modern Architecture. Rizzoli.

[4] Salinger M, 2018, Frank Gehry's Guggenheim Museum Bilbao: A Landmark of Deconstructivist Architecture. Journal of Architectural History, 75(2): 189–212.

[5] Sudjic D, 2020, Language of Things: A Memoir of Design. Profile Books.